

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/024,790	12/19/2001	Michael P. Gorman	ELECTRO.001C	5853		
28222	7590 09/30/2002					
LAW OFFIC	CE OF GLENN R. SMIT	ГН	EXAM	EXAMINER		
	KHILL ROAD ANYON, CA 92679-116	53	GILMAN, AI	N, ALEXANDER		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2833			
		DATE MAILED: 09/30/2002				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_				She			
		Application No).	Applicant(s)				
		10/024,790		GORMAN, MICHAEL P.				
1 , 2	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
•		Alexander Giln		2833				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress			
Period fo		VIC SET TO E	ODE 2 MONTH	S) FROM				
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Is sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, ho by within the statutory n will apply and will expire	wever, may a reply be tin ninimum of thirty (30) day re SIX (6) MONTHS from n to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 l	December 2001	. •					
2a)□	•	nis action is non						
3)	the desired matters prosecution as to the ments is							
	on of Claims							
	Claim(s) 37-49 is/are pending in the application							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consid	eration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>37-49</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requi	rement.					
	ion Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examino	er. · · · · · · □ · ·	to the bushes Eve	minor				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b)[_] obj	ected to by the Ext	311111161. Soo 37 CER 1 85/a	١			
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be	neid in abeyance. N	oved by the Exam	,. iner			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on			Over by the Exam				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Zammer.						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	iodky uodo	· 25 C	(a)-(d) or (f)				
1	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn prionty under	35 U.S.C. 9 119	(a)-(u) or (i).				
(a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	ata basa basa s	nesi rod					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts nave been n	eceived.	tion No				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts nave been r	eceived iii Applica	und in this Nation	at Stage			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119	e) (to a provision	nal application).			
	a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	rovisional appli	cation has been re	eceived.				
Attachme								
1) Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	•	Interview Summa Notice of Informa Other:	ary (PTO-413) Paper al Patent Application (No(s) PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2833

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Omum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 37-49 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim1, 7, 31-35 of U.S. Patent No. 6,341,981. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because all of the limitations of independent claims of the invention are met by the respective claims of the U.S. Patent No. 6,341,981.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 37, 41, 42, and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Miller.

 With regard to claim 37, Miller (US 5,486,121) discloses (Fig. 5, 12, 13) an electrical module comprising:

front 13) and back (14) covers;

an electrical function (47, 48, 49) being user accessible from said front cover:

a plurality of fixtures (38) extending generally perpendicularly from said back cover; and

Art Unit: 2833

a plurality of contacts (5,6,7).

With regard to claim 41, Miller discloses a wiring panel comprising:

a front and back sides;

a plurality of panel contacts (22, 23);

a plurality of panel fixtures (8, 9), configured to removably engage (using 56) a module

(11)

With regard to claim 42, Miller discloses (Fig. 7):

a plurality of terminal contacts (23);

a plurality of busses (21).

2. Claim 37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Figart et aler.

With regard to claim 37, Figart et al (US 4,165,443) discloses (Fig. 1-4) an electrical module (14) comprising:

front and back covers;

an electrical function being user accessible from said front cover;

a plurality of fixtures (not shown) extending generally perpendicularly from said back cover; and a plurality of contacts (80, 82, 84).

3. Claims 41 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Olson.

With regard to claim 41, Olson (US 5,399,806) discloses a wiring panel (28, 30, 32) comprising:

a front and back sides;

a plurality of panel contacts (72);

a plurality of panel fixtures (28, 30, 32), configured to removably engage a module (110).

With regard to claim 43, Olson discloses plurality of breakaways (86).

4. Claims 37, 41, 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Marrero.

Art Unit: 2833

With regard to claim 37, Marrero (US 4,103, 125) discloses (Fig. 5, 12, 13) an electrical module comprising:

front 144) and back (120) covers;

an electrical function (114) being user accessible from said front cover,

a plurality of fixtures (112) extending generally perpendicularly from said back cover, and

a plurality of contacts (the portions connecting 114 and 72).

With regard to claim 41, Marrero discloses a wiring panel (22,24) comprising:

a front and back sides;

a plurality of panel contacts (56);

a plurality of panel fixtures (46), configured to removably engage a module (26).

With regard to claim 44, Marrero discloses a bracket (24).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 38, 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller.

With regard to claims 38 and 39, Miller discloses all of the limitations except for a ground bar for insertion in the corresponding slot of the wiring panel.

Miller discloses ground bar on the wiring panel for insertion in the corresponding slot of the module. It was held that would be no invention in shifting location of parts to a different position since the operation of the device would not be thereby be modified. In re Japikse, 86 USPQ 70.

2. Claims 45-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Figart et al.

Art Unit: 2833

With regard to claims 45 and 48, Figart et al (US 4,165,443) disclose a wiring assembly comprising:

a wiring panel (50) having a front and back sides;

panel contact (64);

an electrical module (14, 18)

Figart et al do not disclose that the panel feature (25) shielding a panel contact is a part of the front side of the wiring panel, teaching that the shielding feature as a part of the electrical box.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the Figart et al connector with the shielding feature as a part of the front side of the wiring panel, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. In re Japikse, 86 USPQ 70.

With regard to claim 46, Figart et al disclose

an electrical box (10);

a cable connector (60t);

a buss portion (60').

With regard to claim 47 and 49, Figart et al disclose the module has a ground bar (80) and the panel has the corresponding slot.

3. Claims 38-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Figart et al in view of Scott.

With regard to claims 38 and 39, Figart et al disclose all of the limitations except for the configuration of the ground bar allowing insertion of the module in the specified orientation only.

Scott (US 4,403,824) discloses the ground bar allowing insertion of the module in the specified orientation only (col. 2, lines 1-4).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to configure the Figart et al ground blade as a key, as taught by Scott, to allow insertion of the module in the specified orientation only.

Art Unit: 2833

With regard to claim 40, Figart et al disclose a conductive element (a portion with an apertur for element 55.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Gilman whose telephone number is (703) 305-0847. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:30 a.m. - 8:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula A. Bradley can be reached on (703) 308-2319. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7724 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4900.

Alexander Gilman

September 23, 2002